

**Response/Arguments**

**A. Claims In The Case**

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected. Claims 1 – 12 are cancelled without prejudice. Claims 13 – 23 are added. Claims 13 – 23 are pending in the case.

**B. The Response Has Been Clarified**

The Office Action states that the response filed on June 15, 2004 is not fully response to the prior Office Action due to conflicting statements. Applicant has amended the previous Office Action response for clarification. The amended response is included as part of this response to the Office Action mailed August 3, 2004.

**C. The Claims Are Not Indefinite**

The Examiner rejected claims 1 – 12 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The Examiner states that the use of the language “or the like” and/or “of the type” renders claim 1 indefinite because the claim includes elements not actually disclosed.

The Examiner states that the use of the language “such as” and/or “so that” renders claims 3 and 8 indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

The Examiner rejected claims 1 – 12 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph for

omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections.

Applicant has cancelled claims 1 – 12 without prejudice. Applicant has added new claims 13 – 23. Applicant asserts that the newly added claims are supported by the specification. Applicant states that claims 13 – 23 are definite pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and respectfully requests the withdrawal of all rejections and/or objections to the claims on these grounds.

**D. Prior Art Rejections**

The Examiner has not rejected any claims against any cited prior art due to the objection and/or 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejections against claims 1 – 12.


**E. Summary**

Based on the above, Applicant submits that all claims are now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully solicited.

Inventor: Bernard Hennion  
Appl. Ser. No.: 09/992,583  
Att. Dckt. No.: 5310-03700

If any extension of time is required, applicant hereby requests the appropriate extension of time. If any fees are inadvertently omitted, please charge those fees to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C. Deposit Account Number 50-1505/5310-03700/EBM

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Eric B. Meyertons', written over the typed name and registration number.

Eric B. Meyertons  
Reg. No. 34,876

Attorney for Applicant

MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.  
P.O. BOX 398  
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398  
(512) 853-8800 (voice)  
(512) 853-8801 (facsimile)

Date: 9/3/04